

ROOSEVELT NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN UPDATE TEAM
Report On Community Survey Responses

20 May 2006

Overview:

From Mid-April through the first week of May, members of the Roosevelt Neighborhood (residents, business people, employees, regular visitors, etc.) were asked to respond to a survey regarding basic things they value within their neighborhood. A copy of the entire survey is included below, at the end of this report.

Of the 1600 or so surveys distributed (most with a copy of the neighborhood newsletter), 109 completed surveys were received (approx 7% return rate). The surveys themselves were color-coded, and this demonstrated that surveys were received from different geographic locations of the neighborhood, from businesses, and from people associated with the Roosevelt High School. Respondents also represent a broad spectrum of (very) long-time residents to the newly arrived.

This Report seeks to offer a full report of the tabulated results, and to offer some simple analysis of the results.

Methodology:

The data from questions #1 and #2 (how long in neighborhood, past participation in planning work) was collected in a general form and is presented below with the raw data. The total count on this and other questions does not match the total number of surveys returned due to some people leaving certain questions blank.

The data from questions #3 and #4a – #4f was tabulated and then expressed as values with a simple system of ‘weighting’ and tallying. The raw data and calculations are included below. Different methods of weighting and analyzing the data could also be used. As a secondary ranking method, results are also given based simply on which answer received the most ‘votes’ as “most important” (given a #1). These two methods result in an almost identical result, with only minor variations.

Where a respondent gave multiple answers of the same number (indicating a tie in their ranking of importance) the tabulation was done with the rankings given, even though this further threw off the total numbers of certain ranks assigned. In the calculating of total values, a difference of 10 points or less was assumed to be a statistical tie, and is reported as such.

What follows then is first a summary of the findings with some basic conclusions drawn. A complete reporting of the collected data is then presented with the resulting total score and the computations shown (for #3 + #4) of how the total score was calculated. The answers submitted to question #5 (‘greatest problems’) are then included in their entirety.

The final section of this document is a copy of the survey form which was used to collect this data. If anyone wishes to do further analysis of these responses and wishes to examine the set of returned surveys please contact Andy Reay-Ellers (529 – 1280).

Summary:

Again, the full results of each question are attached below for public review. While a survey of this size should not be analyzed too closely, a few broad conclusions can be drawn about the community's priorities and desires.

Generally, from question #3 we learn that issues of housing, transportation, and public safety rank as the areas which the community wishes to focus the most attention. Commercial services and public amenities are thought of as the next most important, and the area of neighborhood activism and diversity was ranked as warranting the least attention.

Combining the responses to question #3 ('what categories warrant the most attention') with question #4 ('which specific issues would result in the greatest positive impact') result in a rough ranking of what community members would most like to see the neighborhood focus on. Using this method, the specific issues which rank in the upper third of the results are (in no particular order):

Maintenance and upkeep of Housing.
Stable Single Family Housing.
Neighborhood cleanliness.
Affordability of housing.
Wide Variety of shops and services.
Low level of crime.
Police Presence and Traffic Enforcement.

Pedestrian Safety.
Well maintained streets and sidewalks.
Pedestrian/ Streetscape Amenities in Business core.
Connections: Open Space Corridors and Trails.
Ample passive park space.
Multiple transportation alternatives.
Accessibility to Transit.

The responses to question #5 ('biggest problems') can further inform this result. The biggest issues cited as problems fall into the categories of Transportation with 55 responses; Housing with 34 responses; and Human Development (principally public safety / crime) with 29 responses.

Looking closely within those categories it is found that the presence of poorly maintained, sub-standard housing, crime, and the combination of the two (crime associated with sub-standard housing) merge to form the biggest issues cited by respondents. This serves to reinforce the results from #3 + #4 which rank low levels of crime, maintenance of homes, and cleanliness of the neighborhood as features which residents value most highly.

Likewise, transportation -- and especially a dedication to the 'pedestrian experience' -- is affirmed in both the tabulated rankings to questions #3 + #4 and also the problems cited for #5 as of paramount importance to the members of the Roosevelt community.

Conclusion:

Community members seek as their highest priorities to live in a safe, clean, affordable, and stable residential neighborhood which provides the advantages of transit, parks, and services within an easy, pleasant walk.

Report On Community Survey Responses

Tabulated Raw Data with Basic Calculations and Analysis

Question #1:

How long have you been part of our neighborhood (lived, worked, owned property, etc.)?

The answers to this range across a broad spectrum from 4 months to 87 years. The responses can be categorized in to the following:

Number of Years	Count
0 – 5	28
6 – 10	26
11 – 20	29
21 – 30	12
Over 30	11

Question #2:

Were you a participant in the Neighborhood Plan process in 1998-99?

Answer	Count
YES	11
NO	93

Question #2:

Of the issues shown here, please rank them in order of importance according to which category you think warrants the most attention of the community. (#1 being the most important to #6 being the least important)

___ Housing/Residential Character

___ Public Safety

___ Vitality of Commercial District

___ Parks, Recreation and Culture

___ Transportation

___ Neighborhood Activism and Diversity

item	rank	count	Weight	Score / rank as item listed most important	Total score / overall rank
Housing/ Residential Character	1	36	10	360 /1 st	730 most important
	2	25	8	200	
	3	20	6	120	
	4	9	4	36	
	5	7	2	14	
	6	4	0	0	
Vitality of Commercial District	1	10	10	100 /4 th	532 third
	2	21	8	168	
	3	22	6	132	
	4	13	4	52	
	5	20	2	80	
	6	15	0	0	
Transportation	1	20	10	200 /3 rd	612 tied for 2nd
	2	27	8	216	
	3	15	6	90	
	4	21	4	84	
	5	11	2	22	
	6	15	0	0	
Public Safety	1	30	10	300 /2 nd	616 tied for 2nd
	2	15	8	120	
	3	18	6	108	
	4	15	4	60	
	5	14	2	28	
	6	9	0	0	
Parks, Recreation and Culture	1	6	10	60 /5 th	500 fourth
	2	20	8	160	
	3	25	6	150	
	4	23	4	92	
	5	19	2	38	
	6	6	0	0	
Neighborhood Activism and Diversity	1	4	10	40 /6 th	218 least important
	2	4	8	32	
	3	7	6	42	
	4	14	4	56	
	5	24	2	48	
	6	47	0	0	

Question #4.a) Housing/Residential Character

Of the items listed, rank the top three conditions most important to creating the greatest positive impact. (#1 being most important, followed by #2 then #3). Feel free to rank all conditions if you wish.

item	rank	count	Weight	Score / rank as item #1	Total score / overall rank
Stable Single Family Housing	1	45	10	450 / most	612 second
	2	12	8	96	
	3	9	6	54	
	4	2	4	8	
	5	2	2	4	
	6	3	0	0	
Affordability.	1	20	10	200 / third	520 third
	2	17	8	136	
	3	24	6	144	
	4	8	4	32	
	5	4	2	8	
	6	2	0	0	
Mix of Housing (single family, multi-family, apt, etc.).	1	17	10	170 / fourth	466 fourth
	2	25	8	200	
	3	12	6	72	
	4	3	4	12	
	5	6	2	12	
	6	5	0	0	
Broad Mix of Owner/Renter.	1	0	10	0 / least	102 least important
	2	2	8	16	
	3	9	6	54	
	4	4	4	16	
	5	8	2	16	
	6	10	0	0	
Higher Density Near Commercial Core	1	8	10	80 / fifth	410 fifth
	2	23	8	184	
	3	17	6	102	
	4	9	4	36	
	5	4	2	8	
	6	3	0	0	
Maintenance and Upkeep.	1	24	10	240 / second	658 most important
	2	24	8	192	
	3	36	6	216	
	4	2	4	8	
	5	1	2	2	
	6	3	0	0	

OTHER responses to this question:

- we are not new york.
- Sustainable architecture.
- less bus stops. 1 + another 3 blocks.
- Parking.

- Do Not increase population density!
(via more apts or multi-family)
- style/character of houses.

Question #4.b) Public Safety

Of the items listed, rank the top three conditions most important to creating the greatest positive impact. (#1 being most important, followed by #2 then #3). Feel free to rank all conditions if you wish.

item	rank	count	Weigh	Score / rank as item #1	Total score / overall rank
Ample Street Lighting.	1	11	10	110 / fifth	366 fifth
	2	14	8	112	
	3	17	6	102	
	4	7	4	28	
	5	7	2	14	
	6	6	0	0	
Police Presence and Traffic Enforcement	1	13	10	130 / fourth	488 third
	2	29	8	232	
	3	18	6	108	
	4	3	4	12	
	5	3	2	6	
	6	3	0	0	
Low Level of Crime.	1	46	10	460 / most	686 most important
	2	15	8	120	
	3	16	6	96	
	4	1	4	4	
	5	3	2	6	
	6	0	0	0	
Community Cohesiveness (e.g. Block Watch).	1	15	10	150 / third	450 fourth
	2	19	8	152	
	3	19	6	114	
	4	6	4	24	
	5	5	2	10	
	6	1	0	0	
Pedestrian Safety.	1	19	10	190 / second	526 second
	2	23	8	184	
	3	18	6	108	
	4	9	4	36	
	5	4	2	8	
	6	3	0	0	
Community Disaster preparedness.	1	2	10	20 / least	140 least important
	2	6	8	48	
	3	7	6	42	
	4	5	4	20	
	5	5	2	10	
	6	13	0	0	

OTHER responses to this question:

- Put cameras at intersections.
- Stable single-family housing
- Graffiti / adolescent crime .

- Enforcement of no litter, no drugs, no noise, no parking.
- Enforcement of litter, drug, noise, + parking ordinances.

Question #4.c) Vitality of Commercial District

Of the items listed, rank the top three conditions most important to creating the greatest positive impact. (#1 being most important, followed by #2 then #3). Feel free to rank all conditions if you wish.

item	rank	count	Weigh	Score / rank as item #1	Total score / overall rank
Wide Variety of Shops and Services	1	25	10	250 / second	590 most important
	2	25	8	200	
	3	18	6	108	
	4	4	4	16	
	5	8	2	16	
	6	2	0	0	
Lots of <input type="checkbox"/> non-chain <input type="checkbox"/> shops and services	1	30	10	300 / most	536 third
	2	15	8	120	
	3	13	6	78	
	4	7	4	28	
	5	5	2	10	
	6	6	0	0	
Evening/Night Activity	1	6	10	60 / least	242 least important
	2	13	8	104	
	3	9	6	54	
	4	4	4	16	
	5	4	2	8	
	6	11	0	0	
Business Stability (low turn-over)	1	15	10	150 / fifth	456 fourth
	2	15	8	120	
	3	24	6	144	
	4	8	4	32	
	5	5	2	10	
	6	2	0	0	
Pedestrian/ Streetscape Amenities	1	22	10	220 / third	550 second
	2	20	8	160	
	3	23	6	138	
	4	6	4	24	
	5	4	2	8	
	6	3	0	0	
Scale & aesthetics of buildings match area	1	18	10	180 / fourth	440 fifth
	2	18	8	144	
	3	16	6	96	
	4	4	4	16	
	5	2	2	4	
	6	4	0	0	

OTHER responses to this question:

--Parking.

--Affordable shops.

--Shops I can use → not just boutiques .

--Go to Northgate to shop.

Question #4.d) Parks, Recreation and Culture

Of the items listed, rank the top three conditions most important to creating the greatest positive impact.

(#1 being most important, followed by #2 then #3). Feel free to rank all conditions if you wish.

item	rank	count	Weigh	Score / rank as item #1	Total score / overall rank
Ample Number of Playfields.	1	14	10	140 / third	378 third
	2	15	8	120	
	3	17	6	102	
	4	1	4	4	
	5	6	2	12	
	6	0	0	0	
Ample Passive Park Space.	1	38	10	380 / most	770 most important
	2	34	8	272	
	3	16	6	96	
	4	5	4	20	
	5	1	2	2	
	6	1	0	0	
Connections: Open Space Corridors and Trails.	1	33	10	330 / second	692 second
	2	29	8	232	
	3	19	6	114	
	4	3	4	12	
	5	2	2	4	
	6	0	0	0	
Public Art (e.g. outdoor sculpture).	1	4	10	40 / least (tie)	332 fourth (tie)
	2	12	8	96	
	3	27	6	162	
	4	7	4	28	
	5	3	2	6	
	6	5	0	0	
Cultural Facilities (galleries, theaters etc.).	1	8	10	80 / fourth	340 fourth (tie)
	2	12	8	96	
	3	18	6	108	
	4	9	4	36	
	5	10	2	20	
	6	1	0	0	
High School Spectator Events.	1	4	10	40 / least (tie)	94 least
	2	0	8	0	
	3	5	6	30	
	4	5	4	20	
	5	2	2	4	
	6	15	0	0	

OTHER responses to this question:

- Good transit access to downtown Seattle.
- More lighted Tennis courts.
- Outdoor swimming pool.
- Parks w/restrooms Froula.
- Baseball Diamonds.

- Urban Forests.
- There are ample choices elsewhere.
- Neighborhood heritage.
- Historic preservation.
- Bike routes.
- Safe Parks.

Question #4.e) Transportation

Of the items listed, rank the top three conditions most important to creating the greatest positive impact. (#1 being most important, followed by #2 then #3). Feel free to rank all conditions if you wish.

item	rank	count	Weigh	Score / rank as item #1	Total score / overall rank
Multiple Transportation Alternatives.	1	30	10	300 / most	596 second
	2	17	8	136	
	3	21	6	132	
	4	5	4	20	
	5	4	2	8	
	6	2	0	0	
Accessibility to Transit.	1	23	10	230 / second	576 third
	2	27	8	216	
	3	18	6	108	
	4	4	4	16	
	5	3	2	6	
	6	3	0	0	
Easy Traffic Flow.	1	9	10	90 / least (tie)	352 fourth
	2	24	8	192	
	3	11	6	66	
	4	5	4	20	
	5	2	2	4	
	6	3	0	0	
Ample On-street Parking.	1	11	10	110 / fourth	254 least important
	2	6	8	48	
	3	13	6	78	
	4	2	4	8	
	5	5	2	10	
	6	5	0	0	
Well-maintained Streets and Sidewalks.	1	22	10	220 / third	608 most important
	2	24	8	192	
	3	26	6	156	
	4	9	4	36	
	5	2	2	4	
	6	0	0	0	
Bicycle Access lanes.	1	9	10	90 / least (tie)	280 fifth
	2	7	8	56	
	3	19	6	114	
	4	2	4	8	
	5	6	2	12	
	6	6	0	0	

OTHER responses to this question:

- Reduce cars and increase mass transit.
- If multi-unit housing is going up then better mass transit needs to be provided.
- no parking structures.
- Ample off-street parking.

- Need street Re-Paving.
- We need more crosswalks.
- w/ increased housing, need increase in maintenance + improved traffic flow.
- Not a hindrance to regional transportation.

Question #4.f) Neighborhood Activism and Diversity

Of the items listed, rank the top three conditions most important to creating the greatest positive impact. (#1 being most important, followed by #2 then #3). Feel free to rank all conditions if you wish.

item	rank	count	Weigh	Score / rank as item #1	Total score / overall rank
Number of Local Clubs/Organizations.	1	1	10	10 / least	128 least
	2	7	8	56	
	3	5	6	30	
	4	6	4	24	
	5	4	2	8	
	6	11	0	0	
Participation in Local Projects/Festivities	1	11	10	110 / third	458 third
	2	17	8	136	
	3	33	6	198	
	4	1	4	4	
	5	5	2	10	
	6	1	0	0	
Neighborhood Cleanliness.	1	58	10	580 / most	792 most important
	2	15	8	120	
	3	13	6	78	
	4	2	4	8	
	5	3	2	6	
	6	1	0	0	
Ethnic and Economic Diversity.	1	18	10	180 / second	500 second
	2	23	8	184	
	3	19	6	114	
	4	4	4	16	
	5	3	2	6	
	6	2	0	0	
Diversity of Businesses.	1	6	10	60 / fifth	432 fourth
	2	30	8	240	
	3	15	6	90	
	4	9	4	36	
	5	3	2	6	
	6	2	0	0	
Access to Schools and Government Services.	1	9	10	90 / fourth	278 fifth
	2	9	8	72	
	3	17	6	102	
	4	2	4	8	
	5	3	2	6	
	6	5	0	0	

OTHER responses to this question:

- Unity.
- Would love to have a block party .

“PROBLEMS”

Question #5:

- What are the two greatest problems which you feel the Roosevelt Neighborhood faces today?
 This could be a negative feature which is present, a positive feature we lack, or something else entirely.

Here are all the answers received for question #5.

The responses have been copied here exactly as written, and as such some are a bit cryptic. One change made has been to remove any personal names/identities. This document has roughly organized the answers received into the 11 “element” categories of Seattle’s comprehensive plan. Some comments could or should be categorized in several different places—these have been listed in the perceived “best” category each fits in. This sorting of answers is simply an attempt to get a handle on the wide variety of comments, and quantify how many comments were made on a similar subject.

There is a twelfth, catch-all “MISC” category at the end for a few comments which defied easy categorization.

URBAN VILLAGE	
1)	Roosevelt must preserve and promote its unique neighborhood identity with the growth of Seattle’s urban population density.
2)	Even more neighborhood cohesiveness.
3)	New commercial construction is ugly, detracts from feel of neighborhood. Whole Foods complex is very good. But not apt condos on south side of 65 th near I-5, old complex N. of QFC on Roosevelt, and dwellRoose is too large scale for area. All these detract from the core.
4)	Neighborhood identity.
5)	Too many people.
LAND USE	
6)	The proliferation of apartment complexes replacing single family homes.
7)	Construction of new condominium, apartment & multi-family buildings.
8)	Increasing housing/rental density w/o thought to traffic + other neighborhood impacts (threats to SFR zoning).
9)	Very concerned with the height “restrictions” on all the new “condos” sprouting everywhere! Takes away “neighborhood” feeling. The buildings are way too tall.
10)	Concern regarding growth of mixed housing.
11)	Zoning.
TRANSPORTATION	
12)	One-way arterials hurt pedestrian use.
13)	Need central open space(s) not more cut-thru traffic.
14)	Pedestrian / walking environment.
15)	Too much cut-through traffic -- driving <u>fast</u> .
16)	Traffic.
17)	Return 12 th and Roosevelt to 2-way.
18)	I would like to see a friendlier Pedestrian street environment.
19)	I can’t wait for light rail to come.
20)	Make 12 th and Roose Way back to 2-way -- Calm Traffic!
21)	Traffic!
22)	Not knowing when or if or where (for sure) light rail is coming thru.
23)	Traffic on Roosevelt.
24)	Anyway to address the exit from Bartells? It is <u>so</u> difficult to make the left hand turn on to 12 th .
25)	Traffic.

TRANSPORTATION, continued

- 26) Excessive speed on 12th Ave NE.
- 27) I live on 12th across from the reservoir-- as do lots of other people! I'd like to see some effort to lower the speed on this street! Very scary + unsafe as well as too much traffic.
- 28) We need that light rail! That may come.
- 29) Concern regarding traffic congestion and parking as a result of commercial and mixed use expansion.
- 30) "Couplet" of one-way streets (12th and Roose Way) should be ended + returned to 2-way streets.
- 31) Parking density.
- 32) I-5.
- 33) Preponderance of private parking zones.
- 34) Not enough parking.
- 35) Traffic congestion.
- 36) Need more left turn signals.
- 37) Traffic congestion.
- 38) School parking.
- 39) Move bus stops further away from 12th & 15th intersections with 65th and 75th to help relieve congestion.
- 40) People driving too fast on Roosevelt.
- 41) Greater density of Roosevelt means we must get Light Rail to this area.
- 42) Lack of connectivity to transportation alternatives.
- 43) Congestion -- Grid lock on holidays.
- 44) Auto traffic, such as NE 69th being used as a connection between 12th and 15th Avenues.
- 45) Growing traffic problems -speeding- pedestrian risks.
- 46) Too much thoroughfare traffic on side streets -- need better regulation.
- 47) Growth. How do we deal with traffic and parking?
- 48) Parking!! Lack of, price of, over-enforcement of: makes us non-shopper-friendly. Think Ballard as positive example.
- 49) Keeping automobile traffic from spilling off arterials onto residential streets. e.g. north and eastbound from 12th toward LK City Way.
- 50) Drivers cutting through residential streets to get onto Lake City Way.
- 51) Lack of high-speed transit/rail.
- 52) Too many cars. I'd like to see a campaign to encourage more people to walk in the neighborhood.
- 53) I am a jogger. I have been nearly hit on several occasions by people running lights at Roosevelt + 75th, Brooklyn + 75th, 15th + 75th. I have been in my car and nearly been hit by people running lights. My mother lives in southern CAL. And accidents have dropped by 75% where cameras have been placed.
- 54) Traffic / congestion.
- 55) Horrible road surfaces -- + poor traffic flow.
- 56) People driving everywhere instead of walking, cycling, riding busses.
- 57) Parking on street.
- 58) Lack of ped-friendly atmosphere.
- 59) Transportation is an ongoing issue-- I can't wait until the light rail is done!!
- 60) Parking.
- 61) Traffic caused by construction of new condominium, apartment & multi-family buildings.
- 62) Arterial traffic management.
- 63) Need free and clear sidewalks.
- 64) Parking and traffic enforcement.
- 65) Parking.
- 66) Too much traffic off the arterials.
- 67) The city should repair the tree root damage-- I have seen people fall, of all ages and hurt quite seriously!

HOUSING	
68)	People buying house, fixing them up and selling the houses at a high market value.
69)	Properties held by slumlord.
70)	“Slums” in area around 65 th and 15 th needs to be dealt with.
71)	Substandard housing.
72)	<i>[name/identity eliminated]</i> .
73)	And <i>[name/identity eliminated]</i> too.
74)	The blocks between 65 th and 66 th ; 15 th and 12 th .
75)	The properties on NE 65 th between 12 th and 15 th are run down, filthy, and a blight on the Roosevelt neighborhood.
76)	Negative slum housing on 15 th !
77)	Slum landlord.
78)	Homes from Brooklyn (run down). People that come from these houses are <u>bad news</u> . We own a business in Roosevelt and these people give us a lot of problems.
79)	Slum lords @ 65 th /Roosevelt HS -- really brings neighborhood down.
80)	Housing Affordability.
81)	Those guys who own the dumpy houses -- vacant or with scary tenants.
82)	Upkeep of those run down houses.
83)	<i>[name/identity eliminated]</i> blighted properties and tenants.
84)	Run down houses (e.g. along 65 th) and businesses (e.g. Scarlet Tree).
85)	Run down homes near the “core”.
86)	Affordability.
87)	Crack houses and slum lords.
88)	The greatest problem is the blight of the <i>[name/identity eliminated]</i> properties (slums), --we’re embarrassed by them when we have visitors to our house and neighborhood Condition of houses owned by <i>[name/identity eliminated]</i> -- they are a disgrace to the neighborhood. Most on 65 th between Brooklyn Ave NE + 15 th Ave NE. They are renters and not maintained properly.
89)	Unightly old homes operated by slumlords.
90)	Poor Maintenance/upkeep of houses, esp. 65 th .
91)	Dealing with run down houses owned by slum lords.
92)	Revitalization of 65 th -- redevelop housing from Brooklyn to 15 th .
93)	We need cleanup of the east side of 15 th Ave North of 65 th -- that has been insoluble for 20 years.
94)	Slum houses along 65 th near RHS.
95)	Get rid of substandard housing (near high school and 65 th).
96)	Tenement / rooming houses hurt neighborhood.
97)	Get rid of the “slumlord”.
98)	Slumlord renting to drug users.
99)	Problem of large ownership of poorly-maintained properties in our neighborhood hurts business district, gives us a bad reputation, and has related crime issues.
100)	Can’t something be done about <i>[name/identity eliminated]</i> -ville?
101)	<i>[name/identity eliminated]</i> , the slumlord and his decaying properties!
102)	That slumlord that owns properties near RHS.
CAPITAL FACILITIES	
103)	Missing opportunity to recognize the high school as our chief attribute. It is our only public institution, our connection to the larger community, and our namesake.
ENVIRONMENT	
104)	The English Ivy in Ravenna Park is out of control.
105)	The amount of litter on the streets.
106)	More landscaping in core business area.
107)	Trash.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	
108)	Lack of affordable shops.
109)	Lack of support for independent businesses rather than chains that will turn us into a strip mall look.
110)	More vital, diverse retail core.
111)	Safeway & QFC.
112)	Need to work to keep QFC in neighborhood.
113)	Attracting new enterprise.
114)	Lack of a cohesive chamber of commerce.
NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING	
115)	Coping with construction impacts of light rail station for several years of upheaval; then preventing the loss of the retail "soul" of the Roosevelt core when the station opens.
116)	Growth without design / proper planning.
117)	Growth -- it is inevitable so how do we participate in it?
118)	Sense of being "transitional" -- lacks stability.
119)	Lack of neighborhood involvement in public process.
120)	How can we "pave the way" to be less auto-dependent.... Small neighborhood stores-- Mom + Pops, know your neighbors, shop together!!Maintaining some kind of uniqueness. Are we like every other neighborhood with a Starbucks, Taco del Mar, and Hollywood Video?
121)	Absence of cohesion as neighborhood due to presence of micro neighborhoods & lack of central focus.
122)	As in the rest of Seattle, too many bland apt & condos.
123)	Old houses being taken out and "eyesores" put in their place.
124)	Accommodate population increase by offering affordable housing and decreasing volume of car traffic.
125)	Maintaining and creating human scale by encouraging diverse, independent businesses, pedestrian and bicycle traffic, aesthetically pleasant architecture and streetscapes.
126)	Retaining the positive aspects of the neighborhood while incorporating growth.
HUMAN DEVELOPMENT	
127)	Drugs and gang activity in Cowen Park & surrounding area (graffiti, intimidation, car prowls, etc....)
128)	Police department's lack of teeth in cleaning out drugs and gangs.
129)	Drug use/dealing, vandalism, parties, sleeping, mean dogs....in Cowen Park.
130)	How about a public pool once the 12 th street reservoir is decommissioned!
131)	More green space and bike lanes.
132)	We sure would like to see an independent coffee shop and a community pool. Thank You.
133)	Increased crime -- In the 3 years we've lived here we've seen a <u>definite</u> increase in car/house break-ins (including our own cars) What's going on?
134)	Stable single family housing -- this is definitely at risk. We moved here because we love the area + because we wanted to avoid the student scene south of Ravenna Park; but the issues we wanted to avoid seem to have followed us here. e.g. rentals to students have led to crowded street parking and loud partying on week-ends. I also believe that having so many college-age kids around may be related to the increase in break-ins we have seen.
135)	HS students who litter + hang out on 66 th street + nearby areas.
136)	Cowen park safety.
137)	Too much crime via car break-ins and theft.
138)	Need more neighborhood pride, sense of ownership + responsibility to our community.
139)	Lots of homeless that live under I-5.
140)	The I-5 overpass / transients and panhandlers.
141)	Public Safety in the Park! Drug deals, vandalism, etc.
142)	Tagging-- graffiti.
143)	Crime-- theft, break-ins, vandalism.

HUMAN DEVELOPMENT, continued	
144)	Garbage + esp. graffiti.
145)	Cowen/Ravenna Park-- many potential dangers – drug deals, transient people... Police presence is needed in and around the park. Graffiti!!
146)	Enforcement of ordinances-- Drugs, parking, cars on lawns + noise -- too many people in 1 unit.
147)	Small crime like graffiti, speeding through residential streets, theft from cars, etc.
148)	Staying clean and well maintained homes, properties, streets.
149)	Graffiti.
150)	The high school is both positive and negative. I like having such a cool building and the kids around, but dislike the litter, graffiti + drug deals.
151)	Our car has been broken into twice, we've witnessed drug deals on our corner, I walked to Whole Foods the night of a murder -- it seems like a lot of crime for such a nice neighborhood! Would like to deal with whatever the root cause of this is.
152)	The seedy bus stop southbound NE 65 th + 15 th Ave NE.
153)	Too much construction + development—that blocked sidewalk between Roosevelt + 12 th on NE 65 th . Will they ever complete that project?
154)	Crime.
155)	Crime (car, home, theft), graffiti.
CULTURAL RESOURCES	
156)	Make space under I-5 more visually pleasing.
157)	Need to identify structures of historical and architectural significance to our neighborhood and to develop strategies for preserving these in light of increased redevelopment pressure. Loss of such structures, which help define our neighborhood identity and character, is irreversible.
MISC:	
158)	Replace big ugly sign on top of Econo Storage (you can see it from I-5).
159)	There are too many thoughtless people in this neighborhood who think only of themselves. They let their dogs run! They throw their garbage around so someone else has to pick it up; there are too many people crammed into too small a place. The “social engineers” want to cram more people in this area with more “people hives”. “Mix of Housing”?? <u>NO</u> - single family <u>only</u> ; property owners <u>only</u> .
160)	An off-leash dog area would be a welcome addition.
161)	You should have one bulletin board where “we” can see what’s going on and upcoming—rather than posting on poles, etc.
162)	Dog park.
163)	Waterfront.
164)	Movie theater.

ROOSEVELT NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING SURVEY

- 1.) How long have you been part of our neighborhood (lived, worked, owned property, etc.)? _____ years
- 2.) Were you a participant in the Neighborhood Plan process in 1998-99? Yes No
- 3.) Of the issues shown here, please rank them in order of importance according to which category you think warrants the most attention of the community. (#1 being the most important to #6 being the least important)
- | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| ___ Housing/Residential Character | ___ Public Safety |
| ___ Vitality of Commercial District | ___ Parks, Recreation and Culture |
| ___ Transportation | ___ Neighborhood Activism and Diversity |
- 4.) For each of the subjects shown above we have listed below some conditions which may help lead to a healthy, vibrant and functional neighborhood. In each category below, please rank the top three conditions which you think are the most important to creating the greatest positive impact. (#1 being most important within each category followed by #2 then #3 within each category box). Feel free to rank all conditions if you wish.

<p>4.a) Housing/Residential Character (rank #1, #2, & #3)</p> <p>___ Stable Single Family Housing. ___ Affordability. ___ Mix of Housing (single family, multi-family, apt, etc.). ___ Broad Mix of Owner/Renter. ___ Higher Density Near Commercial Core. ___ Maintenance and Upkeep. ___ Other _____</p>	<p>4.b) Public Safety (rank #1, #2, & #3)</p> <p>___ Ample Street Lighting. ___ Police Presence and Traffic Enforcement. ___ Low Level of Crime. ___ Community Cohesiveness (e.g. Block Watch). ___ Pedestrian Safety. ___ Community Disaster preparedness. ___ Other _____</p>
<p>4.c) Vitality of Commercial District (rank #1, #2, & #3)</p> <p>___ Wide Variety of Shops and Services ___ Lots of <input type="checkbox"/> non-chain <input type="checkbox"/> shops and services ___ Evening/Night Activity ___ Business Stability (low turn-over) ___ Pedestrian/Streetscape Amenities ___ Scale & aesthetics of buildings match area ___ Other _____</p>	<p>4.d) Parks, Recreation and Culture (rank #1, #2, & #3)</p> <p>___ Ample Number of Playfields. ___ Ample Passive Park Space. ___ Connections: Open Space Corridors and Trails. ___ Public Art (e.g. outdoor sculpture). ___ Cultural Facilities (galleries, theaters etc.). ___ High School Spectator Events. ___ Other _____</p>
<p>4.e) Transportation (rank #1, #2, & #3)</p> <p>___ Multiple Transportation Alternatives. ___ Accessibility to Transit. ___ Easy Traffic Flow. ___ Ample On-street Parking. ___ Well-maintained Streets and Sidewalks. ___ Bicycle Access lanes. ___ Other _____</p>	<p>4.f) Neighborhood Activism and Diversity (rank #1, #2, & #3)</p> <p>___ Number of Local Clubs/Organizations. ___ Participation in Local Projects/Festivities. ___ Neighborhood Cleanliness. ___ Ethnic and Economic Diversity. ___ Diversity of Businesses. ___ Access to Schools and Government Services. ___ Other _____</p>

- 5.) What are the two greatest problems which you feel the Roosevelt Neighborhood faces today?
 This could be a negative feature which is present, a positive feature we lack, or something else entirely.

1. _____
2. _____

Don't Forget: The next Roosevelt Neighborhood Association meeting
is at 7:30pm at Calvary Christian Assembly on Tuesday April 25.

Roosevelt's Neighborhood Plan Update

With the coming of a light rail station, and other changes in Roosevelt, an update of our □ Neighborhood Plan□ is a priority item. A year ago a committee was formed to revise Roosevelt□'s plan. This □ Neighborhood Plan Update Team□ (N-PUT) has worked to assess the existing plan; secure funding and hire urban designers. A draft of the updated plan□'s content is now being creating and refining.

Even with the variety of the N-PUT members it is impos-sible to know if the ideas, viewpoints, and work of the team reflect the opinions of the community. Thus, during the next three months there will be ongoing public outreach.

These efforts begin with this survey of public opinion. This effort will determine the community□'s highest priority desires and concerns -- and as such will guide the focus of the revised plan. It is important that members of our community take part -- **Please take the time to complete this survey.**

NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS DO MATTER !

PLEASE COMPLETE THIS SURVEY

- 1.) All Roosevelt Community members (residents, business people, employees, regular visitors, etc) are encouraged to complete the survey on the backside of this page.
- 2.) The deadline for submitting surveys is May 5th.
- 3.) Submit it one of three ways:
 - Drop it at the customer Service desk of any of our 3 grocery stores (Safeway, QFC, Whole Foods).
 - Bring it to the April 25th RNA meeting.
 - Mail or hand-deliver it to the RNA mailbox Address below)
- 4.) Make additional copies of this form as needed (for example, people at a single address can each submit).
- 5.) More information and a copy of this survey can also be found at our website: rooseveltneighborhoodseattle.org

If you would like to participate as part of the Roosevelt Neighborhood Plan update team, contact Andy Reay-Ellers via address below. Or include your name and contact info with this survey sheet.

If Mailing -- Fold here

ROOSEVELT NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING SURVEY DEADLINE: FRIDAY MAY 5, 2006

Use a
first
class
stamp if
mailing.

To:
The ROOSEVELT NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION
6910 Roosevelt Way NE
Box # 5 1 8
Seattle, WA 98115

A dynamic, up-to-date neighborhood plan is vital to build and maintain a vibrant residential and commercial community, both now and into the future.